

Re: Enhanced Unicode support for "Go" tools

Source: <http://coding.derkeiler.com/Archive/Assembler/alt.lang.asm/2004-05/0980.html>

From: Beth (*BethStone21_at_hotmail.NOSPICEDHAM.com*)

Date: 05/20/04

Date: Thu, 20 May 2004 13:36:10 +0100

The Wannabee wrote:

> *Beth wrote:*

> > *_PEOPLE_ and their culture and so forth...this takes beyond mere*

> > *"technical inaccuracy" into the realm of potentially being "you*

> > *offensive little git!!" type insult to some people...*

>

> *: -)*

>

> *Beth, thanks for the posts. They made me think of UNICODE a little*

> *diffrently. I think I can actually take it from here, by experimenting,*

> *but still.*

No, really, *_you_* should experiment...find out for *_yourself_*...there is no substitute for that...and how on Earth do you think everyone else did it, anyway? ;)

> *Lets pretend I had written a wordprocessor, and stored each word seperatly in the data, and processed each word seperatly form the*

> *data (lets pretend). Then pretend I wrote a love letter to someone, and in*

> *the middle of it wanted to site a Hebrew poem.*

[A Hebrew poem in the middle of a Love letter? Pretenious nonsense...she wouldn't be impressed...*_HONEST_* or don't even bother...better a crap "roses are red" that is *_MEANT_* than a million pretenious "aren't I so damn clever?" terrible illusions...I mean, you writing it for her or you writing it to inflate your ego about how real clever you look? Declaring Love or just trying to hook a "trophy" on your arm? Maybe you can't tell the difference but she will be able to, I guarantee you...though, you might be lucky...she might not care either way...Love makes people do funny things, after all...]

alt.lang.asm: Re: Enhanced Unicode support for "Go" tools

- > 1. *How do the Processor/drawing routine detect the hewbrew*
- > 2. *And how does it then adjust itself to draw the hewbrew.*
- >
- > *For simplicity, lest assume its just one line of hebrew, say 4 words.*

[Woah! A "concise" poem, for sure ;)]

- > *Thanks again for the effort. I think its somewhat easier to read you, than*
- > *the original sources, as you use that chatty language.*

Aaah,