

## Re: International Peace March (19th / 20th March 2005)

**Source:** <http://coding.derkeiler.com/Archive/Assembler/alt.lang.asm/2005-03/0865.html>

---

**From:** Beth (*BethStone21\_at\_hotmail.NOSPICEDHAM.com*)

**Date:** 03/25/05

Date: Fri, 25 Mar 2005 14:17:44 GMT

Annie wrote:

> *Beth wrote:*

> > *Annie wrote:*

> > > *The purpose of a war is to kill people and break things.*

> >

> > *Well, at least someone admits that it's just about sadistic*

> > *pleasure and little else...*

>

> *Silly mad cow. This remark merely illustrates your naivet.,*

How dare you even refer to the concept of anyone being "naive" when you advocate "killing people and breaking things" as a means of "solution" to all difficulties...don't you even dare use the word "civilised" when – in between cavewoman grunts – you wave around your club made of bone, crying "kill!" with bloodthirstiness...and don't go anywhere near pretending you're somehow more "realistic", just because you always give a cynical reply...

Your remark here in response just demonstrates that I was crediting you with more intellect than you actually possess...I apologise for the "mistaken identity" there...you are clearly a complete idiot with a "superiority complex" so blinding and blinkered, it's surprising you can acknowledge the presence of anything beyond your own nose long enough to have any conversations with anyone at all...

> *It has nothing to do with 'sadism' or 'pleasure.'*

Torturing common criminals who have absolutely no information to supply about "terrorism", with a big smile on your face and a thumbs up sign, has nothing to do with "sadism"?

Taking photos of it – intermingled with home-made pornography from the torturers – and then distributing this as a "morale booster" to fellow troops is not "sadism"?

I'm sorry...but "sadism" is named after the infamous Marquis De Sade and

mixing pornography with torture and abuse is exactly the definition of this "extreme schadenfreude" to delight in the PAIN and HUMILATION of others, especially with a "sexual gratification" angle...

Those "abuse photos" (which are actually torture photos but watered-down euphemisms are the American way, when it comes to avoiding facing the reality of their own actions...dropped a bomb accidentally on your own troops? Nevermind, call it "friendly fire" and this saves you from the psychological trauma of realising what you've actually done) are exactly "sadism" 100%...and are exactly about deriving "pleasure" from the PAIN and HUMILATION of others...who, in this case, were mostly looters and other common criminals that you can't place that "terrorism" nonsense as justification (even if "terrorists" – which most could not in any way be described as such (it's a prison: Actual "terrorists" get the "full treatment" of being kidnapped to the Guantanamo concentration camp for their "interrogation") – then such treatment cannot still be justified...for what it does to the TORTURER, irrespective of the tortured...you think these sadists – given free reign to torture and kill and humiliate even the corpses – will make "healthy" citizens to return to American life? They are as psychologically traumatised – mentally diseased – and dangerous as paedophiles, with "tastes" for grossly vile methods of "sexual gratification"...not my "opinion" there, ask any well-qualified psychologist about the mental state and criminal behaviours of such people...there is a REASON for nearly everything in law...and fair treatment is not solely about the "victim", it is also about the perpetrator...I mean, imagine it were you: A trained killer, ordered to annihilate people arbitrarily, break souls, torture and humiliate fellow human beings...and being immersed in this kind of conduct, possibly for years? You think you'll walk out of that in a good mental state?

It is NOT solely the Iraqis who were tortured, abused, humiliated and killed...it is the American soldiers out there who are equally being mistreated in the exact same way, on the orders of the Commander-in-chief...

You talk of "conflict by attrition"...to wear down the enemy until you "win"...but you seem to fail to acknowledge the "attrition" works on both sides...or, indeed, if it did not, then America could not possibly have lost Vietnam, as the "superior military forces" existed then, as much as now...how did America lose what should have been a "push-over"? Because war causes "conflict by attrition" on BOTH sides...

Think it over: The Iraqi insurgents are sustaining the greater casualties – over 14,000 or so, at the most minimum estimates – but they are being drawn from a "pool" of MILLIONS who live in that country...whereas, the American troops are approaching 2,000 casualties, which is less in absolute terms but the "pool" being drawn on is only several hundred thousand in total...and over eleven thousand are reported "wounded in action" by the DoD itself...

Then troops are being asked to remain in Iraq far longer – uninterrupted – on duty than they should be...even if a "minority", those torturing soldiers with their sadistic "snuff movies" and photos, mixing torture with pornography...they've clearly been there too long, subject to the wrong orders, the wrong "climate" where they believed this "acceptable" conduct...when they do come off "duty", are they psychologically \_safe\_ people to return to "civvie" life eventually?

There was no WMD so there was no "threat"...it has made America more vulnerable and hated and despised that the "recruits" for the suicide bombers must now be queuing around the block...with troops engaged elsewhere on missions that have nothing to do with "terrorism" that they are being drawn away from \_real\_ "defence" of the nation – more psychological issues: Soldiers sign up to defend the nation, not to do personal missions of "economic strategy" for the Commander-in-chief...that this \_abuse\_ of the American military can't be too good either...soldiers \_refusing\_ to go to the front line, \_refusing\_ their orders, becoming the \_torturers\_ we saw in those photos – from "terrorism"...

Are you completely sure you can win "by attrition"? That is their home country, they are waging conflict to protect their nation, families and friends...that's a far more powerful motivator...

Oh, indeed, "war by attrition" \_WORKS BOTH WAYS\_...the question is: Who will crack first? And don't think your world-reknown American "patriotism" is any kind of "advantage"...your "enemy" is \_exactly\_ as nationalistic, fighting for their country – as they see it – right there on their own doorsteps...how "determined" would you be in their place? Can flag waving in front of soldiers too long out there, too long apart from their homeland really compare, however "patriotic" they may be? And knowing that they are fighting on what has been shown to at least be partially, if not wholly, based on a lie?

Do I think America will lose? No, I don't...\_IF\_ we solely mean "militarily"...the "elephant" does, indeed, have immense weaponry to crush the comparatively defenceless "flea"...

But if we mean in human cost...in cost to American "defence"...in cost to the psychology of a nation...in cost to the feelings of "patriotism" amongst American...in cost to dividing the nation in two, never more pronounced since the Civil War...in costs to – though the nation rallied in a time of struggle, as is the American way and all tribute to it – the "trust" in the Commander-in-chief with that fool-hardy, idiotic "mission accomplished" sign staying in the minds of an entire nation...in these not insignificant non-military "peripheral" costs of the war...in costs to the damage to the sacrosanct nature of the Constitution, as Bush rode roughshod over it to set up concentration camps...the continual – and, deep down, you \_KNOW\_ it's at least partially \_DESERVED\_, if not completely so – barrage of attack at what your nation has done and will do, from the rest of the world...in these costs – real \_AMERICAN\_ costs to America herself, what makes the country what it is – you've already \_LOST\_...

Have you heard of the term "Pyrrhic Victory", Annie? It's where you do, indeed, "win" a conflict eventually...but that you've lost far, far more in that struggle to "win" than you actually gain by winning...

Even if you "succeed" right this very minute, the damage already caused to the nation by its own actions cannot come close to justifying or rectifying the losses so far taken...let us say that, tomorrow, all Iraqi insurgents cease...that the "democracy" begins to flourish in that country...that, immediately, there is no more "cost", only "success" at reaching the "objectives" (whatever they are, as they are "moving goal posts" from everything Bush has cited over the course of the war)...

If this happened right this very minute, then – in your heart of hearts – could you say that it was truly a clear "win"? That the damage and cost already done could be easily forgotten (how many are STILL suffering psychologically from Vietnam...indeed, one has to be "careful" in merely speaking that name at all to America – not pushing it or over-doing it, which would be so easy to do when it's ripe for the use as an example – as it IS a very sensitive subject to some)? That you could stand by the Lincoln or Jefferson monuments with your head held high – knowing that Lincoln and Jefferson themselves, as I've quoted, had to be DEFIED in their advice and wisdom to wage this "war of attrition" – in the same way as before? Knowing that the nation is not "strong" at all by this conflict...that it has been split straight down the middle (oh, there's always been "culture wars" in America but the people were never POLARISED on the issues in this kind of way, since – obviously – Civil War times)...

Because the lies won't disappear...those photos of torture enacted in YOUR name won't cease to have been taken...the tens of thousands dead – on all sides – won't rise from the grave...and 9/11 won't be "erased" from the consciousness...bin Laden is still out there...

Are you REALLY sure you made the wisest decision?

Are you REALLY so utterly "naive" to think that only "military victory" counts?

You, my dear Annie, are the utterly "naive" one to think that this could ever be "erased" or "undone" or that "victory" will justify it or rationalise it or otherwise...

And how are you going to feel when – without rest – Bush sends the troops onto Iran? Then on to Syria? Then on to North Korea? And that your vote exactly gave him the "political captial", as he put it, to carry on?

I may not be an American myself...but you do know that I am, in fact, very much an Americo-phile with regards to the Founding Fathers and the Constitutional principles and the Bill of Rights and such...I have distinct trouble supporting your country in practice but in the "theory" of what it aspired to be, no nation has ever really been so bold and so inspirational in its dreams of a better world...I have absolutely NO "anti-American

agenda" at all...on the contrary, it is the irreparable damage that this will cause to America herself, as much as any nation she attacks, that concerns me...that America herself and Liberty off Manhattan, could spiritually DIE in the quest for a cheap – and ultimately unsatisfying – piece of "revenge" (on people who had nothing to do with the 9/11 strike, anyway)...that America will tear herself apart and her Dream will die, if it has not already gone too far and the irretrievable damage done...

A nation is not her flag...a nation is not her anthem...a nation is not her "pledge" ...a nation is her people...and their Love for their own lands...patriotism is the Love of one's own country, NEVER the hatred of another...

> *In a war, you kill people and break things so that the enemy*  
> *eventually loses the manpower, the materiel, and/or the will*  
> *necessary to continue waging war.*

Yes; It's called "attrition", as you don't seem to know the correct terminology for this military strategy...you just keep going and going until the "enemy" cannot stand the bombardment any longer...

BUT "war by attrition" IS a "battle of wills" ...that is, this method of attack COSTS on both sides simultaneously...to keep going and going levies its toll on the aggressor, as much as it does on the "victim"...

This has been well-known since ancient times...it is why "the Art of War" ascribes the warrior who knows when NOT to fight, as being the greatest warrior...the warrior who can cause as much "attrition" on the "enemy" side, paying the LEAST cost to themselves...

There is a legend in China of Emperor Qin (pronounced "Chin" and, yes, "China" is named after this first Emperor who united the six kingdoms to create China and defended it from the Mongolian raiders by constructing the Great Wall of China – apparently, the only visible man-made object from space – around an entire country, which is no small achievement)...assassins came to kill the king...but, at the moment of assassination, the king was spared because it is "the way of the warrior" to realise the greater forces of peace and unity...and saw in the king the will to do such...the assassin then refused to attack and sacrificed himself for the good of "our land" ...the legend has gained mythological status but there is no suggestion it is not based on some kind of reality...

The assassin realising that the cost of the assassination would be too much that he sacrificed his "victory" for the good of the entire country...a legend upon which China founded itself...a culture founded on the ways of war that it is NO "naivety" for this to be the "ultimate ideal of the warrior" but the cold, hard lesson of centuries of nigh-on ceaseless warfare...a view shared by Gandhi, who defeated the world's largest empire, through non-violent means, to bring about the independence of the world's largest democracy...

These are no small examples...it is YOU who does not know history...who does not really understand the true purpose of warfare...you think it's only in the "win"...who has the strongest arm, not the wisest strategy and the noblest, most honourable purpose...and that is why you've ALREADY LOST...

[ snip ]

> *So...did you climb aboard one of those 'free' buses, and ride  
> to London for the 'peace march?'*  
>  
> *Yeah; didn't think so. Hehehe!*

Bzzt! Wrong answer...again...your "superiority complex" is, once more, your very weakness and makes your conclusions and predictions always wrong because you presume that as you have no principles or backbone and nothing but shameful complacent apathy for your life and country that others are the same as you...misunderestimating the "enemy" is exactly the first mistake in losing a war...always understand and respect your "enemy" at all times...de-humanising them may make torturing and killing them easier to your frail and fragile psychology but it's a serious strategic mistake, not to realise that your "enemy" is as ever bit – if not more so – as intelligent and determined as you are...

My travel arrangements were other than the "free buses"...but I did, indeed, ride to London for the "peace march"...we passed the American embassy this time – they allowed it, this time, which they had not previously – and, boy, you should have seen the amount of police, barriers, police dogs and other "protections" that surrounded the American embassy (Metropolitan police too, so it's all British taxpayer money paying for it)...it seems they were either expecting serious trouble or, more likely, trying to PROVOKE it with an intimidating police presence because if the "peace march" was to attack the embassy in any regard then we'd all be easily dismissed as "crackpots" in the media (and it would also scare others joining future marches, by trying to paint us in the same light as those violent "anti-globalisation" marches, who ruined their own cause with their violence)...the strategy was clever...but didn't work...

The rally afterward featured the families of soldiers who had died speaking...the parents squarely blaming the Blair government, not the Iraqi who killed their son, because they said that, simply, if Iraqis were invading our country then we would also, understandably, take up arms against the aggressors too...that the parent could understand others also simply protecting their families and friends...and could forgive this, as it was not done in malice...but blamed the government and their orders to place their son in that situation in the first place, to force that Iraqi into that decision...

Also, soldiers took to the podium (well, there was no actual "podium" but you know what I mean) to declare that they would outrightly refuse action in Iraq and accept whatever consequences – court martial, jail or whatever – that would come from that...because the soldier said that,

simply, he had signed up to \_DEFEND THE NATION\_ and that he saw that this action does no such thing and may even make attacks more likely in "retribution" to the injustices and crimes – such as torture – inflicted on that nation, based on what are now 100% \_KNOWN LIES\_ that were put forward...that he would happily serve and signed up to sacrifice his life in that goal, in any war for the defence of the nation or just war...but not for a lie...

Backing up the UN's judgement that the war was illegal, the British Attorney General – who's "war advice" has turned out to be nothing more than a single A4 sheet containing 9 paragraphs only – has been put in the spotlight here because, in a resignation letter (resigned "on principle" that the government was clearly performing an illegal action), that was made available by our new "Freedom of Information Act" had a paragraph blanked out, claiming "national interest"...the original text of this paragraph was leaked, though, revealing that this was a \_LIE\_ designed to simply \_cover up\_ the other \_LIES\_ that the war was "legal"...as the paragraph reveals that the Attorney General himself changed his mind repeatedly about the legality of the action – not as "concrete" a case as suggested by Tony Blair – and had \_AGREED\_ that it was "illegal" on the exact points of law that someone else of that opinion put forward to make the action \_illegal\_...

Coupled with the Butler report, which demonstrated that the government \_DID\_ alter the contents of the "dodgy dossier" to present a "rock solid case" for war when, in fact, they were openly \_NEGLECTING\_ to include the serious "caveats" that the intelligence services actually had that the information was \_NOT\_ trustworthy...this reveals that, on Blair's end of things, the war was unjustified and illegal – AND THEY \_KNEW\_ IT – but "massaged" the dossiers and "legal advice" (which they still refuse to release under the "Freedom of Information Act", which would settle the matter one way or the other...claiming "national security" but the blanked out paragraphs that have been "leaked" demonstrate that there is \_NO SUCH CONCERN\_...that, as suspected, governments \_DO\_ and \_DID\_, in this case, use this "excuse" of "national security" simply to cover up and hide their other \_LIES\_) in order to present a case for pre-determined military action...

As such, the action itself was / is a war crime...the torturing of the prisoners in Abu Grahیب is a war crime...the concentration camp in Guantanamo "unconstitutional" (Supreme Court ruling)...Belmarsh a violation of Habeus Corpus (Law Lords ruling)...the refusal to fulfill the obligations of the Geneva Convention of an occupying army to count the dead another war crime...these are not my "opinions", these are legal \_facts\_...

If "rule of law" is to be maintained, then they should be had up in front of the war crime tribunal in the Hague for their actions...whether you believe the action was "correct" or not, this is an inescapable fact...they have committed repeated actual, outright \_war crimes\_ – before we even reach the more "moral" stuff of lying to the people and trying to cover this up and such, which are actions against democratic rule itself – to

which democratic representatives have no excuse but to face the rule of law and court for what they have done...it is the foundation principle of true \_civilisation\_: NO-ONE is above the law...and, if they truly believe that they did the correct thing, then why are they not willing to \_ACCEPT THE CONSEQUENCES\_ of that? Coalition troops – who's courage cannot be disputed – have happily declared openly that they will fully stand accountable for the consequences of their actions and decisions...

Bush is no "tough Texan"; He is a \_COWARD\_ from Maschusettes, who constantly projects \_LIE\_ after \_LIE\_ to "dupe" people into believing him...sorry, it is \_YOU\_ who is "naive"...and, worse, you've demonstrated you don't believe the lies either and know full well what they are...you, therefore, are a \_WILLING\_ accomplice in war crimes and cannot absolve yourself of your criminality in supporting the conspiracy to enact them...

Really, you should accept "sadist" because that has a more understandable basis in mental disease to "excuse" you than for what appears to be true that you are simply a coward and a criminal...there was no defensive motivation in this action, so you cannot cling to any "just war" excuse, as all definitions of such exclude selfish aggression...

There was also an appearance by the group "Faithless" at the rally to perform the following song:

"Whether long range weapon or suicide bomber  
A WICKED MIND is a weapon of mass destruction

Whether you're soar away Sun or BBC 1  
MISINFORMATION is a weapon of mass destruction

You could a Caucasian or a poor Asian  
RACISM is a weapon of mass destruction

Whether inflation or 'globalization'  
FEAR is a weapon of mass destruction

My dad came into my room holding his hat  
I knew he was leaving,  
he sat on my bed told me 'some facts, son.'

I have a duty, calling on me  
You and your sister be brave, my little soldier  
And don't forget all I told ya  
You're the mister of the house  
now remember this:  
And when you wake up in the morning  
Give ya Momma a kiss'  
Then I had to say: 'goodbye'

In the morning woke Momma  
With a kiss on each eyelid,

Even though I'm only a kid  
Certain things CAN'T BE HID

Momma grabbed me  
Held me like I was made of gold  
But left her inner stories untold

I said, 'momma it will be alright  
...When daddy comes home, tonight'

Whether long range weapon or suicide bomber  
WICKED MIND is a weapon of mass destruction

Whether you're soar away Sun or BBC 1  
MISINFORMATION is a weapon of mass destruction

You could a Caucasian or a poor Asian  
RACISM is a weapon of mass destruction

Whether inflation or globalization  
FEAR is a weapon of mass destruction

Whether Halliburton or Enron...or ANYONE  
GREED is a weapon of mass destruction

We need to find COURAGE, OVERCOME:  
INACTION IS A WEAPON OF MASS DESTRUCTION  
INACTION IS A WEAPON OF MASS DESTRUCTION  
INACTION IS A WEAPON OF MASS DESTRUCTION

The skin under my chin is exploding, again.  
I'm getting stress from some other children.  
I'm holding it in, we taking sides, like a politician  
and if I get friction, we get to fightin'.

I defend my Dad he's the best of all men  
An' whatever he's doin' he's doin' the right thing...  
It's frightenin' but it makes me mad, why do all  
Of these people seem to hate my Dad?

An' if that ain't enough, now I've got these spots.  
I go to sleep every night with my stomach in knots.  
And what's more, I can hear Mama next door  
Explore the radio for reports of war.

And all we ever seem to do is hide the tears,  
Seems Daddy been gone for years.  
But he was right, now I'm geared up for the fight  
An' he would be proud of me...  
...if Daddy came home tonight.

Whether long range weapon or suicide bomber  
WICKED MIND is a weapon of mass destruction

Whether you're soar away Sun or BBC 1  
MISINFORMATION is a weapon of mass destruction

You could a Caucasian or a poor Asian  
RACISM is a weapon of mass destruction

Whether inflation or globalization  
FEAR is a weapon of mass destruction

Whether Halliburton or Enron or ANYONE  
GREED is a weapon of mass destruction

We need to find COURAGE, OVERCOME:  
INACTION IS A WEAPON OF MASS DESTRUCTION  
INACTION IS A WEAPON OF MASS DESTRUCTION  
INACTION IS A WEAPON OF MASS DESTRUCTION

My story stops here, let's be clear:  
This scenario is happening EVERYWHERE

And you ain't going to Nirvana or Farvana  
You're coming RIGHT BAC HERE to live out your 'karma'

With even more drama than previously, seriously

JUST HOW MANY CENTURIES HAVE WE BEEN  
WAITING FOR \_SOMEONE ELSE\_ TO MAKE US "FREE"?

And we refuse to see  
That people overseas SUFFER JUST LIKE WE

BAD 'leadership' and ego's unfettered and free  
Who FEED on the people they're supposed to lead

I don't need good people to pray and wait  
For the Lord to make it all straight

There's only now, do it right.  
'Cos I don't want your daddy...  
...leaving home tonight

Whether long range weapon or suicide bomber  
WICKED MIND is a weapon of mass destruction

Whether you're soar away Sun or BBC 1  
MISINFORMATION is a weapon of mass destruction

You could a Caucasian or a poor Asian  
RACISM is a weapon of mass destruction

Whether inflation or globalization  
FEAR is a weapon of mass destruction

Whether Halliburton or Enron or anyone  
GREED is a weapon of mass destruction

We need to find COURAGE, OVERCOME:  
INACTION IS A WEAPON OF MASS DESTRUCTION  
INACTION IS A WEAPON OF MASS DESTRUCTION  
INACTION IS A WEAPON OF MASS DESTRUCTION

INACTION IS A WEAPON OF MASS DESTRUCTION  
INACTION IS A WEAPON OF MASS DESTRUCTION  
INACTION IS A WEAPON OF MASS DESTRUCTION  
INACTION IS A WEAPON OF MASS DESTRUCTION

INACTION IS A WEAPON OF MASS DESTRUCTION"

[ "Mass Destruction", Faithless ]

Beth :|