

# Re: Kernel Calling Conventions

---

*Source:* <http://coding.derkeiler.com/Archive/Assembler/comp.lang.asm.x86/2006-03/msg00204.html>

---

- *From:* Robert Redelmeier <[redelm@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:redelm@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Mon, 20 Mar 2006 06:00:39 GMT
- 

Kroll <[spamtrap@xxxxxxxx](mailto:spamtrap@xxxxxxxx)> wrote in part:

Greetings all, I was reading the "FreeBSD Assembly Language Programming" tutorial (<http://www.int80h.org/bsdasm/>), when I came across something that piqued my curiosity.

The C calling convention is touted as being more convenient, and superior to the calling convention used by linux and microsoft of passing arguments within registers. I was wondering if this is just a bias on the part of the writer or what.

IMHO, "bias" is too mild a word :)

Regs vs stack is a much closer argument for userspace lib calls. For kernel transitions, registers are *\_much\_* easier: when transitioning from ring3 (userland) to ring0 (kernel space), a stack switch is done. At the very least, the old pointer would have to be recalled to fetch the params. And some work with the LDT may be necessary to recover the process' memory mapping. I don't recall whether these are reset on transition.

The main advantage of stack params is that many more can be passed.

— Robert

.