

## Re: [Clax86list] using common macros in NASM and C

---

*Source:* <http://coding.derkeiler.com/Archive/Assembler/comp.lang.asm.x86/2006-08/msg00074.html>

---

- *From:* "hackkaush" <[spamtrap@xxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:spamtrap@xxxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* 27 Jul 2006 01:05:54 -0700
- 

And can you tell that what is you website?

Charles A. Crayne wrote:

On 26 Jul 2006 09:24:07 -0700

"randyhyde@xxxxxxxxxxxxx" <[spamtrap@xxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:spamtrap@xxxxxxxxxxx)> wrote:

:Are we not talking about macro definitions in this thread?

Not seeing any value in discussing the definition of a macro, let me merely state that my usage of the term is consistent with the definition found on Whatis.com, "A macro is a programming language statement that, when processed, generates a sequence of more detailed language statements."

:It is *\*very\** rare for a typical C header file to translate easily to  
:assembly language.

This has not been my experience, which, as you know, is somewhat greater than yours.

:For example, don't try this  
:with most of the Windows header files and try to create a NASM  
:equivalent.

For reasons which have nothing to do with this thread, I have no intention of converting any Windows header files. However, I have converted portions of several Linux header files to both NASM and FASM, and the results are available on my web site, for all to see.

-- Chuck