

Re: C IDE Recommendations

Source: http://coding.derkeiler.com/Archive/C_CPP/comp.lang.c/2006-09/msg00303.html

- *From:* Jonathan Pritchard <jonpritchard@xxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Sat, 02 Sep 2006 14:23:40 +0100
-

jacob navia wrote:

Andrew Poelstra wrote:

jacob navia <jacob@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> writes:

Please Keith. I did not HIJACK anything. I just dared to tell Mr Pritchard that for compiling small programs the command line could be a lot faster and simpler than an IDE.

Is that "hijacking" a thread???

Specially when you have posted hundreds of times that you do not use IDEs and use the command line instead???

IIRC, you shifted the topic to IDE's (specifically, yours),

Yes, since the original poster said:

> C IDE Recommendations // Subject line!!

I did not SHIFT any topic! The TOPIC OF THIS THREAD IS: "C IDE RECOMMENDATIONS".

Are you BLIND?

proceeded to explain that you needed to make a project to hold information for said IDE,

Re: C IDE Recommendations

Because "wmaple" answered to my post with:

- > There are many advantages of lcc. But, it's not convenient to compile
- > some trivial programs
- > because i have to create projects which sre unnecessary in this
- > situation. Can lcc work like
- > turbo c which compile source code without creating a project?

and then began to argue about the benefits of projects (or makefiles, or whatever).

Yes that subthread was started with the reply of wmaple (wmaple@xxxxxxx)

When informed that for small, hacky little programs, making an actual project file would cause more trouble than it saves, you immediately took that stance and declared that command line usage is the Right Thing (in some cases).

Yes, that is my opinion. So what?

When confronted with this, you stated that you didn't hijack anything, because all you did was suggest using a command line (that's not all you did), and that it's perfectly fine because most of the experts here also prefer commandlines.

And I still say, if you care to follow the original discussion as it was and not as you imagine it was.

You seem to have forgotten that neither command lines or IDE's are on topic in a C group, and you have shifted a somewhat-topical thread to those two subjects, neither of which belong here.

The Original Poster of the thread started asking about an IDE. Besides, I do think that IDEs **are** topical here and that this group is hijacked by people that want it to discuss a narrow part of the language (C89) and not all the environment where the language lives.

Re: C IDE Recommendations

That's what I call hijacking. Not only that, but your hijacking caused a flurry of come-backs from regulars pointing out your rudeness, thus guaranteeing the success of your off-topic post in hijacking the thread.

So, if I say something and all "regulars" fall on me like crazy it is MY FAULT obviously!

What a logic my dear.

Finally, you seem to do such things very frequently in this group, often simply to insult Richard Heathfield (and it's very rare for you to have an actual point in doing so).

Ahh I insult Mr GURU Heathfield...

Well no.

He just treated me of stupid in the thread "Serial Port acces in XP".
(5 minutes ago)

And *I* insult HIM of course.

Notes after checking groups.google.com:

- 1) This thread wasn't ontopic in the first place, so there seems to be no major issue (in this case).
- 2) Your original thread-hijacking post was a smarmy "Welcome back" to Mr. Heathfield. Hmm.

Yes, I was sorry that the holidays are over.

Which is off topic nonetheless since this is not about ANSI C.
Furthermore, this is why I didn't really want an argument about command-line compiling versus IDE compiling.

The thread's off topic nature I apologise for, I just didn't know where else to put it. But my apologies Mr Navia for my prior rudeness to you.

--

Reclaim Your Inbox! <http://www.mozilla.org/products/thunderbird>

.