

# Re: Expect your comments

---

*Source:* [http://coding.derkeiler.com/Archive/C\\_CPP/comp.lang.c/2007-11/msg00253.html](http://coding.derkeiler.com/Archive/C_CPP/comp.lang.c/2007-11/msg00253.html)

---

- *From:* Richard <[rgrdev@xxxxxxxx](mailto:rgrdev@xxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Fri, 02 Nov 2007 17:06:28 +0100
- 

loudking <[loudking@xxxxxxxx](mailto:loudking@xxxxxxxx)> writes:

On Nov 2, 3:33 pm, Richard Heathfield <[r...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:r...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)> wrote:

pandi said:

Hi,

Here, I've designed syllabus for C and C++.  
This will be helpful for beginners and intermediator.

Please visit here:

<http://gkpandiblogs.blogspot.com>  
<http://www.blogs.krify.com/ccplusworld>

And tell your comments.

Well, my immediate reaction would be simply that I don't recall you being particularly active in this newsgroup, and "pandi" isn't among the names that leaps out at me as belonging to a C expert. So perhaps you would be so kind as to explain why it is worth my time to visit your site. Can you demonstrate, right here in this newsgroup, an existing knowledge and understanding of C that is sufficiently encyclopaedic that I can reasonably believe – against almost all experience – that there might finally be someone around who can write a decent C tutorial, and thus that it might be worth the trouble of visiting the site?

Re: Expect your comments

--

Richard Heathfield <<http://www.cpax.org.uk>>

Email: -<http://www.+rjh@>

Google users: <<http://www.cpax.org.uk/prg/writings/googly.php>>

"Usenet is a strange place" - dmr 29 July 1999

Haha, good answer!

You think? It was rude, made assumptions and generally came across as egotistic ravings from he who feels his position as a C Guru could be under threat.

It is "indeed" presumptive to say the least, to make being a c.l.c "regular" a precursor to writing a C tutorial of any sort.

Also, why the author should address anyone here individually in order to "convince" them to visit the site is beyond me. Visit, look, and do what you think best based on what you find seems more appropriate to me.

.