

Re: "Shared" procedure division code

Source: <http://coding.derkeiler.com/Archive/Cobol/comp.lang.cobol/2005-08/msg00194.html>

- *From:* "Howard Brazee" <howard@xxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Thu, 4 Aug 2005 18:44:06 GMT
-

On 4-Aug-2005, docdwarf@xxxxxxxxxx wrote:

>>> >I never claimed it was universal. I claimed that in this particular case
>>> >no
>>> >further information was added.
>>>
>>> The condition of 'no further information was added' is predicated on the
>>> condition of equating 'It is' with 'I believe it is'.
>>
>>In this case, yes.
>
> And what criteria are being used to differentiate this case from other
> cases?

There are times when I can tell by tone of voice that the person who says "I believe..." isn't sure.

In this case, I cannot judge that way and wouldn't want to presume he means anything except what he said.

.

- *Follow-Ups:*
 - ◆ ***Re: "Shared" procedure division code***
 - ◇ *From:* docdwarf
- *References:*
 - ◆ ***Re: "Shared" procedure division code***
 - ◇ *From:* Howard Brazee
 - ◆ ***Re: "Shared" procedure division code***
 - ◇ *From:* docdwarf
 - ◆ ***Re: "Shared" procedure division code***
 - ◇ *From:* Howard Brazee
 - ◆ ***Re: "Shared" procedure division code***
 - ◇ *From:* docdwarf
- Prev by Date: ***Re: Perceived dates***

Re: "Shared" procedure division code

- Next by Date: **Re: Perceived dates**
- Previous by thread: **Re: "Shared" procedure division code**
- Next by thread: **Re: "Shared" procedure division code**
- Index(es):
 - ◆ **Date**
 - ◆ **Thread**