

Re: New release of IntToStr and Sort Benchmark and validation tools

Source:

<http://coding.derkeiler.com/Archive/Delphi/borland.public.delphi.language.basm/2005-06/msg00571.html>

- *From:* "John O'Harrow" <john@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Fri, 10 Jun 2005 19:05:50 +0100
-

"Lars" <lbg_@xxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
[news:42a9d397\\$1@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:42a9d397$1@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

> John O'Harrow wrote:

>

>> If my memory serves me correctly, I seem to recall there was a bug in D5

>> where -MaxInt was considered to be equal to +MaxInt, or something

>> similar.

>

> I also see the problem in Delphi 6 PE

>

If you place a breakpoint on the line:-

for I := Low(Integer) to High(Integer) do

Lo(Integer) should be -2147483648

and

High(Integer) should be 2147483647

Is this the case under D5/D6?

I vaguely remember having to cast the values into DWORD's instead, a long time ago.

.

• *Follow-Ups:*

- ◆ [*Re: New release of IntToStr and Sort Benchmark and validation tools*](#)

Re: New release of IntToStr and Sort Benchmark and validation tools

◇ *From:* Avatar Zondertau

◆ ***Re: New release of IntToStr and Sort Benchmark and validation tools***

◇ *From:* Lars

• **References:**

◆ ***New release of IntToStr and Sort Benchmark and validation tools***

◇ *From:* Avatar Zondertau

◆ ***Re: New release of IntToStr and Sort Benchmark and validation tools***

◇ *From:* John O'Harrow

◆ ***Re: New release of IntToStr and Sort Benchmark and validation tools***

◇ *From:* Lars

• Prev by Date: ***Re: Fastcode MM with C++ Builder 6***

• Next by Date: ***Re: New release of IntToStr and Sort Benchmark and validation tools***

• Previous by thread: ***Re: New release of IntToStr and Sort Benchmark and validation tools***

• Next by thread: ***Re: New release of IntToStr and Sort Benchmark and validation tools***

• Index(es):

◆ ***Date***

◆ ***Thread***