

## Re: Does it rock?

**Source:** <http://coding.derkeiler.com/Archive/Delphi/borland.public.delphi.non-technical/2004-11/3429.html>

---

**From:** Paul Dolen (*nospam\_at\_nowhere.com*)

**Date:** 11/19/04

Date: Fri, 19 Nov 2004 14:12:51 -0600

> *I've had disk performance SUBSTANTIALLY improve after defragging my  
> disk in Win2K.*

There was a post in another thread where someone suggested defragging, and I gave the same link to that article. But, really, in both of my posts, I was actually posting as a bit of "devil's advocate". I actually run PerfectDisk myself. But, I don't really know what performance difference it really makes—maybe it does, maybe not. I just don't know.

But, PerfectDisk has a comparison to Diskeeper 9. I believe that the Windows defrag is a lite version of Diskeeper. PerfectDisk's test (which just might be biased...) after 3 passes with Diskeeper, the benchmark actually showed a tiny decrease in performance! But with PerfectDisk, it showed about a 50% increase in performance after just one pass. Though I don't doubt PerfectDisk could be better, I find this amount of difference between Diskeeper and PerfectDisk a little hard to believe. But, here is the article if you like, as a PDF:

[http://www.perfectdisk.com/products/perfectdisk2k/performancetesting/PD7\\_DK9\\_Defragmentation\\_Performance\\_Testing.pdf](http://www.perfectdisk.com/products/perfectdisk2k/performancetesting/PD7_DK9_Defragmentation_Performance_Testing.pdf)

or

<http://tinyurl.com/3mvmo>

If your disk's really fragmented it'll give Windows  
> *fits, at least in my experience. (Bad cases are really bad, like  
> deleting a small file taking 30+ seconds.)*