

Re: Google (not Borland) knows all ;)

Source: <http://coding.derkeiler.com/Archive/Delphi/borland.public.delphi.non-technical/2004-12/5094.html>

From: Dennis Landi (*nada_at_nada.com*)

Date: 12/17/04

Date: Fri, 17 Dec 2004 09:58:11 -0500

"Bob Dawson" <bdawson@idtdna.com> wrote in message
news:41c2f1ba\$1@newsgroups.borland.com...

> *"Dennis Landi" wrote*

>

>> *The delphi NGs would be here regardless of the 64-bit issue. The same
>> group, not a different group.*

>>

>> *So no matter how it was selected, the ontology of the group has nothing
to*

>> *do with whether or not Borland's builds a 64-bit compiler.*

>

> *Nonsense--the forum would be here, but its specific membership changes
> constantly in a feedback loop with the topics of conversation. (How much*

> *time would you spend here if the issue of a 64bit compiler were never*

> *discussed or discussable here?) People will stay or go as they find topics*

> *to be of interest, so there's an obvious correlation to be argued between*

> *what's specifically being talked about and the participants/luckers of
that*

> *discussion.*

It doesn't matter who is here at any given time don't you see that?

Everyone is reading/writing to the the delphi NGs because of *delphi*.

At any given time you can ask *any* question about delphi here and get a
good sample.

I think you are too fixated on individuals Bob. And this is particularly
what makes your stance so weak, given your self-proclaimed expertise on
statistics. Your "random sample/self selection" argument is a red-herring.
As there are other samples (of which these forums are a great example) which
can be just as a representative as other techniques.

And the rest of your message has just gone off the rails, so I'll just let
it rest...

-d