

Re: The Galileo IDE

Source: <http://coding.derkeiler.com/Archive/Delphi/borland.public.delphi.non-technical/2005-01/3047.html>

From: Kevin Berry (kevin_at_berry_REMOVETHIS_ware.com)

Date: 01/13/05

Date: Thu, 13 Jan 2005 11:53:57 -0600

Kristofer Skaug wrote:

- > *seems to be every bit as unstable and resource*
- > *hogging (slow) as D8 was, strongly suggests to me that there's a*
- > *fundamental design flaw nested in there somewhere.*

Isn't it testament to the good architecture that it can be stripped down to a reliable core? This "fundamental design flaw" statement doesn't make sense. I would say that it is more likely that it is currently suffering from feature overload more than anything else. They've tried to put so much in that when you combine all of the minor bugs into one complex IDE it makes it seem pretty buggy. To me there really isn't a fundamental design flaw so much as a need for better quality assurance and the need for a big of optimization of the resource hungry code.

Besides, saying that this is a 3rd generation of the Galileo IDE is pushing it a bit. This is only the second version of the IDE from Delphi's perspective and it is only the first version to combine two languages into one IDE. I believe that was the original goal all along.

So they're really only at 1.0 of the Galileo IDE as it was architected. And there's still a few things missing.

Anyway, I'm hopeful that SP2 will address the little annoyances that are still hanging around. :-)

Cheers,
Kevin.