

Re: Simon is impersonating me.

Re: Simon is impersonating me.

Source:

<http://coding.derkeiler.com/Archive/Delphi/borland.public.delphi.non-technical/2007-06/msg01756.html>

- *From:* Jolyon Smith <jsmith@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Wed, 13 Jun 2007 13:10:07 +1200
-

In article <466f2c11\$1@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>, Wayne Niddery [TeamB] says...

Jolyon Smith wrote:

When they do that, then an explanation absolutely is required if TeamB, and by association CG, are to maintain their reputation.

Try to imagine what this group would look like if we were obligated to discuss with any number of participants, whether we were justified for cancelling any and every particular post.

Did I say or even suggest that? Nope.

Nice straw man you set up for yourself to knock down. And whoops, there he goes... Hope you enjoyed it. ;)

I said that where the reason for cancellation is NOT APPARENT, then it should be stated. No need to discuss, just STATE what criteria were applied.

I think that would stop the abuse of power simply because in those cases the TeamB'er would not be able to justify their action by providing an explanation, and so would have to stay their hand.

If the reason for cancellation is obvious, no need to state it.

If the reason isn't obvious, it makes sense to state it.

In either case, there is no need to get into a discussion about it.

BUT (and here's the important part...) if there IS NO REASON to cancel, and therefore no reasonable explanation that can be stated – DON'T CANCEL.

Re: Simon is impersonating me.

Re: Simon is impersonating me.

Let the forum decide whether the poster is an eejut or not.

What you are really saying is that you think we should get permission from participants before cancelling posts

Am I? I don't think so... let me check. Nope, I'm not saying that at all. YOU are saying that I'm saying that, presumably in an attempt to divert attention away from the fact that what I AM suggesting is perfectly workable but would knock certain TeamB members from their currently unassailable ivory towers, or at least bring them down a peg or two.

;))

The moderators of *any* moderated group do not need to answer to the group for the reasons they cancel any post

Then why bother even having posting guidelines? And why keep referring posters to them? Just let everyone post and be damned.

Or rather – "cancelled".

--

JS

.