

Re: Newbie question: Why Fortran?

Source: <http://coding.derkeiler.com/Archive/Fortran/comp.lang.fortran/2004-07/0603.html>

From: Gerry Thomas (gftomas_at_sympatico.ca)

Date: 07/20/04

Date: Mon, 19 Jul 2004 21:58:38 -0400

"Tom" <8f27iw6z@canada.com> wrote in message
news:97de285c.0407191236.766efbcc@posting.google.com...

>
> *I was just wondering why no one has forwarded this discussion to*
> *the*
> *sci.math.num-analysis newsgroup. This would seem to be a discussion*
> *that would be very topical in that newsgroup.*
>
> *Just another newbie question*

No, it's a valid question.

It appears that the OP wanted to know why he should pick Fortran over C++, and specific mention was made of MS VC++ and Intel Fortran, IIRC. The self-appointed censor of comp.lang.c, bud like suds to you though, clearly doesn't know (like his creepy crawly counterparts on CLF it would seem) that F2003's major enhancement is its interop with C (the more important IA features having been dropped by a language that masquerades as the one, true, holy, and apostolic programming language for NA work, in favor of vainly justifying its continuing irrelevancy), ergo the appropriateness of the cross post to CLC and CLC++. As for sci.math.num-analysis, they generally confine themselves to NA issues which are programming language neutral. Anyways, here's cross posting to sci.math.num-analysis, perhaps it'll rope in some other ng mullah to give me a laugh.

--
--

You're Welcome,
Gerry T.

"The most successful tyranny is not the one that uses force to assure uniformity but the one that removes the awareness of other possibilities, that makes it seem inconceivable that other ways are viable, that removes the sense that there is an outside." -- Allan Bloom, in *The Closing of the American Mind*.