

Re: Open Watcom Fortran compiler help needed

Source: <http://coding.derkeiler.com/Archive/Fortran/comp.lang.fortran/2006-01/msg00926.html>

- *From:* "Kevin G. Rhoads" <kgrhoads@xxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Tue, 31 Jan 2006 00:44:12 +0000
-

>* Why would you want LOGICAL * 8? Why not just LOGICAL? I can understand
>INTEGER * 8 and REAL * 16; is there already underlying support in the
>compiler back-end?

There are legacy codes that equivalence (i.e., map, union) INTEGER and LOGICAL onto the same storage. Having identical lengths avoids array index funnies.

.

• *References:*

- ◆ *Open Watcom Fortran compiler help needed*
 - ◇ *From:* Lynn McGuire
- ◆ *Re: Open Watcom Fortran compiler help needed*
 - ◇ *From:* max
- Prev by Date: *Re: Open Watcom Fortran compiler help needed*
- Next by Date: *Re: Open Watcom Fortran compiler help needed*
- Previous by thread: *Re: Open Watcom Fortran compiler help needed*
- Next by thread: *Re: Open Watcom Fortran compiler help needed*
- Index(es):
 - ◆ *Date*
 - ◆ *Thread*