

## Re: On writing negative zero – with or without sign

---

*Source:* <http://coding.derkeiler.com/Archive/Fortran/comp.lang.fortran/2007-07/msg01016.html>

---

- *From:* "Kevin G. Rhoads" <[kgrhoads@xxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:kgrhoads@xxxxxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Fri, 20 Jul 2007 23:23:02 +0000
- 

What you say in this post is exactly what I said in the post to which it was a reply to,

No, it isn't. Which may explain why you removed it from your follow-up. So let's restore it:

Actually if you plan to "restore" anything, restore it all.

You were explaining that the sqrt of the sum of the squares did NOT apply to the anti-correlated case (way back) and I missed the "NOT" part and explained that sqrt of sum of squares applied to the UNcorrelated case.

Then YOU MISSED that my explanation was correct but inapplicable, and attempted to "correct" it by providing the same explanation.

The post of yours that I replied to originally had NO error. But my explanation in response to it was, in fact, correct. My error was NOT in my explanation, but in the fact that I was explaining something you had already gotten correct. Your error in response is, apparently, the same.

Go back and re-read the thread carefully. I think you will find we were accidentally talking at cross-purposes, each responding to what we thought had been written as opposed to what actually had been written.

In any case, I see no reason to continue. We agree on how both UN- and anti- correlated errors combine, and all else was miscommunication.

.