

## Re: 66MIPS 8bit microcontroller

---

*Source:* <http://coding.derkeiler.com/Archive/General/comp.arch.embedded/2007-07/msg00955.html>

---

- *From:* steve <[bungalow\\_steve@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:bungalow_steve@xxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Sat, 28 Jul 2007 18:18:02 -0700
- 

On Jul 27, 12:47 pm, moja...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx (Everett M. Greene) wrote:

"Wilco Dijkstra" <[Wilco\\_dot\\_Dijks...@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:Wilco_dot_Dijks...@xxxxxxxxxx)> writes:

"Steve at fivetrees" <[st...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:st...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)> wrote

"Anton Erasmus" <[nob...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:nob...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)> wrote

[http://www.tezzaron.com/OtherICs/Super\\_8051.htm](http://www.tezzaron.com/OtherICs/Super_8051.htm)

I see that they say that their 200MHz almost  
1 cycle per instruction  
with hardware IEEE floating point support  
runs almost 3x faster than  
a standard 8051 computing a mandelbrot  
pattern. Am I missing  
something, or is this just slightly short of  
pathetic ? Just based on  
the clock frequency and less clock cycles per  
machine cycle, their  
8051 should be close to 150x faster.

Given that they also say "up to 100 MFlops", I suspect  
they're comparing with/without the hardware IEEE on the  
same  
"standard" 8051 at the same clock speed. Although even  
then, I think I'd expect slightly better...

A quick look at the datasheet shows that a floating point operation takes  
8 moves to set up the input operands, 1 to set the operation and 4 to store

Re: 66MIPS 8bit microcontroller

the result (which is valid after 4 cycles). So that's  $8 * 4 + 3 + 4 * 4 + 4 = 55$  cycles for one floating point operation. This gives 3.6MFlops at 200MHz, a little lower than the claimed 100MFlops. Similarly the 200MIPS maximum speed is more like 75MIPS on actual code as few instructions execute in 1 cycle.

A software floating point implementation on this 8-bit core might take around 200 cycles on average for addition/multiply, so getting a factor 3 speedup from the floating point hardware sounds feasible. Not very impressive indeed.

I think you'll find that float operations on an 8-bit micro are going to require much more than 200 cycles. Addition will be of the order of 1000 cycles and multiply/divide/sqrt nearer 3000.— Hide quoted text —

— Show quoted text —

200 cycles is about right for add, subtract and multiply, see Keil's Compiler performance benchmarks for the 8051

[http://www.keil.com/benchmarks/c51\\_tm\\_small.asp](http://www.keil.com/benchmarks/c51_tm_small.asp)