

Re: TDD: Test-Driven Design or Test-Driven Development?

Source: <http://coding.derkeiler.com/Archive/General/comp.object/2004-03/0288.html>

From: Nick Landsberg (*hukolau_at_NOSPAM.att.net*)

Date: 03/05/04

Date: Fri, 05 Mar 2004 18:54:19 GMT

Robert C. Martin wrote:

> *On Thu, 04 Mar 2004 20:58:20 GMT, "Shayne Wissler"*
> *<thalesNOSPAM000@yahoo.com> wrote:*
>
>
>>*That's the reason why I love this example of certainty in science. It's so*
>>*obvious to any modern human being with even a rudimentary scientific*
>>*background that it can be used as a litmus test to root out the crackpots*
>>*who say things like this (and no, relativity theory does not make that*
>>*claim, only modern pseudo-scientific distortions of relativity theory make*
>>*such claims).*
>
>
> *The cardinals in Rome used a different litmus test that was just as*
> *obvious (to them).*
>
>
>>*Not that it deserves any reply at all, but it would be interesting to see*
>>*these crackpots figure out the forces that would be put on the sun if it did*
>>*rotate around the earth at the velocities involved. My guess is that it'd be*
>>*ripped apart.*
>
>
> *Are you sure? Might it be that the 24 hour Earth centered rotation of*
> *the universe would put just the right gravitational fields in place to*
> *keep the sun in freefall, orbiting around a position that just*
> *happened to be where the Earth was? Think of asteroids orbiting*
> *around a Lagrange point. There's nothing there for them to orbit*
> *around. The gravitational field they are orbiting around is created*
> *by other moving objects much further away.*
>

One can develop a set of equations using almost anything as the central point of reference. With certain points of reference

comp.object: Re: TDD: Test-Driven Design or Test-Driven Development?

the equations get downright "ugly". For example, one could