

comp.object: Re: enterprise application development versus traditional software development

## Re: enterprise application development versus traditional software development

*Source:* <http://coding.derkeiler.com/Archive/General/comp.object/2005-01/0858.html>

---

*From:* H. S. Lahman ([h.lahman\\_at\\_verizon.net](mailto:h.lahman_at_verizon.net))

*Date:* 01/15/05

Date: Sat, 15 Jan 2005 21:08:36 GMT

Responding to Jrefactors...

> *I try to compare enterprise application development with traditional  
> software development. Looks like the major difference is that  
> enterprise application development has deployment phase, but not in  
> other applications such as desktop applications.*

I agree with Phlip that it is mostly a marketing buzzword with very little information value.

Nonetheless, as Gauld points out, there are certain vague implications associated with it. Generally the term implies large architectures and infrastructures for supporting individual applications. It also implies reuse of software content at various scales. In IT, that primarily means shared data storage and associated middleware facilities. But good luck on finding an substantive definitions of what that might mean in practice.

I don't think deployment has much to do with it. Deployment is a major hassle for large applications and infrastructures. But is it also part of development of small, individual applications. IOW, the only thing that is changing is the scale of the aggravation.

\*\*\*\*\*

There is nothing wrong with me that could not be cured by a capful of Drano.

H. S. Lahman

[hsl@pathfindermda.com](mailto:hsl@pathfindermda.com)

Pathfinder Solutions -- Put MDA to Work

<http://www.pathfindermda.com>

blog (under constr): <http://pathfinderpeople.blogspot.com/hslahman>

(888)-OOA-PATH