

Re: Natural language programming?

Source: <http://coding.derkeiler.com/Archive/General/comp.programming/2003-11/0516.html>

From: Roger Johansson (*no-email_at_home.se*)

Date: 11/09/03

Date: Sun, 09 Nov 2003 06:22:26 +0100

"Arthur J. O'Dwyer" <ajo@nospam.andrew.cmu.edu> wrote:

>> *I can't resist playing a bit of devil's advocate.*

>

>*Understood; I merely play the role of devil's devil's*

>*advocate, then. :-)*

And I AM the devil :-)

For some reason I cannot reply to what is written in one group of people in that same group of people, but I can reply in another group.

The real replies for this group will only be told in a group of siberian nomads in a tent in Yakur at the Kolyma river.

But here is an answer to another group, somewhere in the world, published only here:

C> Exactly! Event-driven is called that concept. Maybe it's time for me to

C> learn yet another language, if it shall do what I want :P

There is a problem. Real world computers are polled, not event driven. (except for hardware-interrupted microcontroller circuits, but it doesn't work like that in our normal computers)

All types of events are created by the cpu which is both creating all objects in software and the cpu is polling all objects. It can be programmed to look like event-driven.

So if we can accept that reality and want to minimize cpu power we may end up with polling as the best system, because that's what it is.

C> Dear God, will this ever end...

He is about the last person to ask such a thing from. He is the guy who created this.

comp.programming: Re: Natural language programming?

If we want "this" to end we will have to do it ourselves.
And that isn't very likely as long as most people are intent on being selfish and refuse to cooperate.

They are more interested in teaching others to behave on a very high stress level. So we all can be very strong willed and have social pondus.

"Respect, man", as the gangster rappers say it.
"Omerta", as they say in Sicily.

These attitudes are part of the creation.

When people are taught to be very angry inside, and base their lives on that kind of confidence, it means that violence is never far away. Their happiness is based on a balance between good and evil. Their "good" is a kind of drunkenness. Real good cannot be balanced by evil.

The only kind of security available in such a world is based on hidden violence.

You can feel safe children, daddy will not beat you up today.
Daddy is in a good mood today.

But don't disturb him, because he is a violent and dangerous man.

That is the reason why he likes to play chess with his male friends, or talk about complicated programming, which sounds like a secret language to normal people.

--

Roger J.
(My email address is a spam trap, do not use it)