

Re: VB .NET OOP

Source: <http://coding.derkeiler.com/Archive/General/comp.programming/2004-06/0138.html>

From: Edward G. Nilges (*spinoza1111_at_yahoo.com*)

Date: 06/03/04

Date: 3 Jun 2004 04:30:25 -0700

Programmer Dude <Chris@Sonnack.com> wrote in message
news:<qdcdb055r0kqf57tf8q1pkhcip1f31jvni@4ax.com>...

> *On further reflection...*

>

> *Edward G. Nilges spews:*

>

> >novice> *Dim calc As Decimal*

> >novice> *calc = new calculator*

> >novice>

> >novice> *LableResult.Text = calc.result()*

> >novice>

> >novice> *however, I get an error that says calc.result() does not*

> >novice> *produce a value.*

>

> >ed> *Let me cudgel my brains.*

> >ed>

> >ed> *Think ponder think ponder think think ponder.*

> >ed>

> >ed> *Oh, I get it.*

> >ed>

> >ed> *calc.result is not producing a value. As a method, it must*

> >ed> *be a subroutine and not a function.*

>

> >> *Or perhaps it's a property.*

> >

> > *It would compile if it were a property,...*

>

> *Compile and run, apparently, if it's like VB6. The OP doesn't*

> *say if the error is compile-time or run-time. Can you state*

> *for certain it's a compile-time error?*

>

> *In VB6, anyway, if the method is a Sub, it won't compile, and the*

> *error is "Expected Function or Variable". Has this also changed*

> *in VB.Net?*

No

>

> > *The original poster is using VB.Net. There is no Set statement*

> > *in VB.Net.*

>

> *Yep, I missed that! Make a note in your diary, Eddie, as to the*

> *exact date. I'm sure we'll be hearing you crow about it for the*

> *next four years, and you should at least get the date right!*

My name isn't Eddie. It's Edward, and common courtesy demands you respect this.

The ONLY reason I have highlighted this error is your previous conduct. You wasted my time and that of other readers of this newsgroup by first ingenuously demanding code in 2002, and then "criticizing" bugs that were fixed prior to your critique and stylistic practices with which you were unfamiliar because of lack of experience.

I wanted to show that one could easily turn the tables, especially because the error was so egregious. It was either a serious failure to proof-read, or else an even more serious misapprehension of the whole direction of VB.Net.

Furthermore, the fact you post under two ids is meant to further abuse this ng to try to inflate a small working set of true trolls into an apparent large coalition, and it is transparent.

>

> > *Chris, I advise you that this newsgroup is not for showing off*

> > *your erudition in such a way to systematically disrespect.*

>

> *That's your gig, Eddie, not mine. I'm not the one who can't write*

> *a post without filling it with bile against some group or class*

> *of people.*

>

Today, knowledge itself is outside of a technical Bantustan either "conspiracy theory" or "bias". The interest obviously is that ordinary people not form theory so as to be better manipulated. You fell for this process along time ago.

> *You're about the only one around here I disrespect. (But to make*

> *up for it, I'll disrespect you "a whole elephant full!")*

>

That may very well be because others here are police agents and retirees with anger management issues, whereas I am one of the few people here actually qualified to post, because I have vastly more experience than you, I'd written and published about programming since 1976, and unlike many older posters, I do not overspecialize in a narrow subcategory.

Your problem is that you and a small working set of clowns have been in fact misusing this newsgroup for some time, for the idea of any general approach to programming, especially one with social and

humanistic concerns, fills you with fear. You have trashed this commons out of contempt for actual working programmers who might have general culture because to you, "programming" is your trivial exercise of a limited skill.

>

> > *When you pull a boner about code I am not amused,...*

>

> *Dang, and here I did it in hopes of amusing you. Dratitall!*

>

That's a lie and part of your Fascist practice, for multiplication of your ids and contradictory claims are the Fascist technique of manipulating the inattentiveness of the electorate.

> > *However, I am not about to waste my valuable time, in making*

> > *generalizations about your professional abilities based on*

> > *this single boner.*

>

> *Betcha dollars to donuts that's a bald-faced lie, Eddie.*

> *Let's see, looks like you devoted a whole post to me!*

Not so much to you as to the on-topic analysis of what social mechanisms produce your downsized worldview.

>

> *Kewl!*

>

> *Fight! Fight!! FIGHT!!!*

Any time, any place.