

Re: Aspiring highest-order programmer

Source: <http://coding.derkeiler.com/Archive/General/comp.programming/2004-06/0834.html>

From: Edward G. Nilges (*spinoza1111_at_yahoo.com*)

Date: 06/14/04

Date: 13 Jun 2004 22:48:34 -0700

blmblm@myrealbox.com wrote in message news:<2itbdpFrmutnU1@uni-berlin.de>...
> In article <f5dda427.0406101449.296d0c4f@posting.google.com>,
> Edward G. Nilges <spinoza1111@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >blmblm@myrealbox.com wrote in message news:<2iqo8pFqegl2U1@uni-berlin.de>...
> >> In article <f5dda427.0406092312.43f8958c@posting.google.com>,
> >> Edward G. Nilges <spinoza1111@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> >Willem <willem@stack.nl> wrote in message
> >> >news:<slrncb8ntm.25iv.willem@toad.stack.nl>...
> >> >> JKop wrote:
> >> >>) My post was completely void of sarcasm. At first I genuinely
> >> >> thought that
> >> >>) you were just extremely intelligent and that I simply didn't have the
> >> >>) intelligence to understand your post... but then I just looked
> >> >> closely and
> >> >>) decided to try understand what it was that I didn't understand, at which
> >> >>) point I realized that I was simply unaccustomed to your language,
> >> >> and your
> >> >>) unspecific references. Both of which make you come across, at first, as
> >> >>) extremely intelligent.
> >> >>
> >> >> I should point out that, in the past, a lot of his pompous language and
> >> >> usage of unfamiliar verbiage has turned out to be plain wrong. He has
> >> >> never admitted this, but claims we are unable to grasp his unique usage.
> >> >> Not so recent examples include NP-completeness and fascism.
> >> >>
> >> >> You failed to thoroughly understand NP completeness in that
> >> >> discussion, as far as I can remember. You stuck to what the text book
> >> >> s