

Re: spinoza programming language status report (or, disruptive technology is always late)

Re: spinoza programming language status report (or, disruptive technology is always late)

Source: <http://coding.derkeiler.com/Archive/General/comp.programming/2008-05/msg00269.html>

- *From:* Richard Heathfield <rjh@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Fri, 16 May 2008 12:00:27 +0000
-

spinoza1111 said:

On May 16, 5:06 pm, Richard Heathfield <r...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

spinoza1111 said:

<snip>

Although he's mentioned in the wikipedia article on Apress,
*** there
is no Dan Appleman *** page,

If you think that's a big deal, *write* one. Oh, but wait – you can't,
can you? Because you're banned for being a moron. I nearly forgot. Well,
ask someone else to write one for you.

I would NOT do so as a gentleman, you swine,

People who describe themselves as gentlemen and yet call other people
"swine" are unlikely to be believed.

<snip>

This is as it should be. To add random unknowns whose only
"claim to
fame" is that they work hard and get their names on books
with narrow
appeal is innately POV unless Wikipedia becomes A Fanfare
for the
Common Man, with an entry for practically *** everybody

Re: spinoza programming language status report (or, disruptive technology is always late)

***.

That's inevitably the way it will head – an *incorrect* entry for practically everybody.

Your law is strange. "If it is filled with deniable malice, it must be true".

Your response is strange, for it completely misinterprets the text to which it replies. How you equate "incorrect" with "it must be true" is beyond me.

<snip>

As for the NPOV tone, well, everyone knows that's a joke. Wikipedia articles express the POV of their most recent editor.

NPOV is NOT a joke.

Sorry, I should have said "everyone except you knows that's a joke", shouldn't I?

<more nonsense snipped>

<snip>

You don't know what you're talking about. Schildt's errors are not confined to portability failures.

Likewise, the dark and unverifiable hint as to the scope of a person's failings also constitutes libel, and not science.

There's nothing dark or unverifiable about Clive Feather's criticisms of "The Annotated C Standard" or Peter Seebach's criticisms of "C – The Complete Reference".

<snip>

--

Richard Heathfield <<http://www.cpax.org.uk>>

Email: -<http://www>. +rjh@

Re: spinoza programming language status report (or, disruptive technology is always late)

Re: spinoza programming language status report (or, disruptive technology is always late)

Google users: <<http://www.cpax.org.uk/prg/writings/googly.php>>

"Usenet is a strange place" – dmr 29 July 1999