

## Re: PROOF that emulators are impossible

**Source:** <http://coding.derkeiler.com/Archive/General/comp.theory/2004-05/0217.html>

---

**From:** The Ghost In The Machine ([ewill\\_at\\_aurigae.athghost7038suus.net](mailto:ewill_at_aurigae.athghost7038suus.net))

**Date:** 05/05/04

Date: Wed, 05 May 2004 16:00:08 GMT

In sci.logic, |-|erc

<gotchy@beauty.com>

wrote

on Wed, 05 May 2004 08:45:21 GMT

<BQ1mc.21140\$TT.14152@news-server.bigpond.net.au>:

> *The theory is that events themselves have an existence,*

Events *\*do\** have an existence. They register in the brain, and may leave external evidence (fibers, droplets of blood, crushed butterflies, scratched paint, etc.)

> *history repeats whether its fact of fiction. when you write*

> *fiction it becomes factual in the future, perhaps not to the letter.*

I dunno about the fiction becoming factual, but the fiction does exist. "The War Of The Worlds", for instance, demonstrably exists (and the radio version caused a minor panic in 1938).

>

> *an event world on a frequency domain. particles interact over*

> *distances, non locality is factual, we just only understand it*

> *at microscopic scales.... it works at macro scales and the end*

> *result is a religious being.*

People interact over distances too. We are interacting, for instance -- and the telephone has existed since about 1870.

>

> *Here's a challenge for you, get 12 people admitting they*

> *believe YOU are the messiah, when you can't maybe you'll*

> *agree that before you is evidence of paranormal.*

So you've got 12 groupies, then?

>

> *If you went to an alien planet, assume they have 3*

> *mathematicians from history who devised, uncountability,*

> *successor function, unprovable statements.*

>

Re: PROOF that emulators are impossible

comp.theory: Re: PROOF that emulators are impossible

- > *countable : Canter*
- > *unit : Peano*
- > *unprovable : Godel*
- >
- > *What is the probability that the 3 names has a close tie*
- > *in to the theory that they discovered? As close as our three?*
- >
- > *Why wasn't "this statement has no proof" Johnsons proof?*
- >
- > *Wh*