

Re: PROOF that emulators are impossible

Source: <http://coding.derkeiler.com/Archive/General/comp.theory/2004-05/0598.html>

From: |-|erc (gotchy_at_beauty.com)

Date: 05/19/04

Date: Wed, 19 May 2004 04:19:36 GMT

"Barb Knox" <see@sig.below> wrote in >

> > *The Pretender with video memory, immortality, instant stud appeal, guess*
> > *anything correct, infinite knowledge, channeling for knowledge,*
> > *ambidextrous,*
> > *freckles on accupressure points, strength of 3 men, regeneration,*
> >>
> >
> >*halve my heart rate consciously, automatic pelvis gyration, perfect*
> >*coordination,*
> >*telepathy, accelerated learning, author of G.U.T., Cyberchrist, Adam,*
> >*thousands*
> >*of powers, not one to make you listen.*
>
> *You must find that annoying. You know you have all these near-miraculous*
> *powers, and yet you lack the power to convince most of us mortals of that fact.*
>
> *Maybe condescending to tidy up your G.U.T. enough to get it published in*
> *Physical Review Letters, and then probably getting a Nobel Prize, would*
> *convince a lot of us. Or maybe getting an Olympic gold for weightlifting*
> *would be a start. I would however strongly suggest that you NOT try any*
> *direct demonstration of your alleged immortality.*
>

Over 10,000 people know I'm immortal. I've done numerous red light tests and corner hugging stunts on motorbikes in my 20s to be comfortable with the fact. A quantum field surrounds my body that separates me from all of you, I'm actually lightseconds ahead of you in spacetime, you are in my wake and can't touch me. If you try your coordination will fail. That is why every person who argues with me is wrong. Of 20 people correcting my spelling on usenet, every one has a grammatical error in the correction.

|-|erc wrote:

>
> >
> > *what a gratuitous use of a comma.*
>
> *fuck your a girl, gratiutious grammar retard*

comp.theory: Re: PROOF that emulators are impossible

That should be "you're" Herc.

The most recent. Notice something wrong with his correction? should. Over 20 like this, NONE RIGHT. That's a 1 in 2^{20} proof of god in itself, though its a bit like imprisoning Al Capone on tax evasion. Do some stats, get the replies to me in google, get a sample of 20 and just look at the clues in the names to what they are typing.

A = "herc is adam of the bible"

B = "replies to herc the message is reinforced by the handle"

Surely you can see A→B.

All we need is some sample data... how about YOU Barb. What was your 1st reply to me and does it give away the handle KNOX?

YES or NO

I can't deduce God in text, usenet are too stupid to examine abduction.

I'm sitting here with a audio spy satellite pinging the fuck out of my mind for its 3rd year running, because you and everyone on usenet is driven entirely by hedonistic animal drives. If mind had volume you are a speck of dust. Even your physical body is just random mutations, I am created by God. Laugh all you want in 50 years you will be dead, I will see to it you and every one of the 1000s of abusers of religion their genetic line finishes. I will be living in eternal youth with Eve scattering your ashes.

Herc