

Re: What is the Result from Invoking this Halt Function?

Source: <http://coding.derkeiler.com/Archive/General/comp.theory/2004-08/2214.html>

From: Kenneth Doyle (*nobody_at_notmail.com*)

Date: 08/13/04

Date: Fri, 13 Aug 2004 02:44:15 GMT

Marc Goodman <marc.goodman@comcast.net> wrote in news:tzVSc.296564\$XM6.75152@attbi_s53:

> *Kenneth Doyle wrote:*
>> *A lot of us at this stage, are responding because we're evil bastards*
>> *and we like to watch him squirm.*
>
> *Hey! That's me!*

heh! You could sue me for definition of character.

>> *If you don't like it, don't watch.*
>
> *I'm stopping anyway, for two reasons:*
> *1). We've reached the point where the amusement value from*
> *poking this particular puppy with a pointed stick is about played out*
> *(for me anyway).*

Yeah, me too really. I would have stopped by now except that I made a bet with someone, that I could get him to reply even after telling him point blank that I'm just amusing myself at his expense. I won!

> *2). I think it would be fun to see Peter's reaction to being*
> *completely ignored.*

You could be right about that.

> *3). I guess it would be somewhat polite to the regular*
> *denizens of comp.theory and sci.logic (if there are such*
> *people).*

OK, I'll respect that.

> *As for talk.bizarre, well, I think once you've*
> *tasted the particular flavor of kookiness that is Peter*
> *Olcott, there's no need to gorge yourself at the trough.*

comp.theory: Re: What is the Result from Invoking this Halt Function?

Nevertheless, I might drop in there, now that you mention it. I seem to have an unhealthy appetite for the bizarre.

> 4). *[George Hammond] does this whole weird science thing*
> *much better anyway.*
>
> *YMMV...*

Yep, I would have nominated James Harris.

--
CodeCutter - good, fast and cheap; pick two.