

Re: Can a regular Turing Machine provide Protected Memory?

Source: <http://coding.derkeiler.com/Archive/General/comp.theory/2004-08/3531.html>

From: David C. Ullrich (ullrich_at_math.okstate.edu)

Date: 08/28/04

Date: Sat, 28 Aug 2004 06:37:53 -0500

On 28 Aug 2004 10:47:48 GMT, mtx014@linux.services.coventry.ac.uk
(Robert Low) wrote:

>
>*David C. Ullrich <ullrich@math.okstate.edu> wrote:*
>>*(Robert Low) wrote:*
>>>*You have no idea what 'a forteriori' means, do you?*
>>
>>*uh, to be fair i don't either. if you'd said 'a fortiori'*
>>*i'd understand what -that-0 meant...*
>
>*Oh, bugger. My mistake. I'm sure I've been saying*
>*and writing 'a forteriori' for a long time, too.*
>*Must have somehow polluted it with 'a posteriori'.*

ah. seems to be a common misspelling, based on the number of hits in a google search. i couldn't figure out where people got it from - 'a posteriori' must be the reason.

David C. Ullrich

sorry about the inelegant formatting - typing one-handed for a few weeks...