

Re: Efficient hashmap serialization?

Source: <http://coding.derkeiler.com/Archive/Java/comp.lang.java.programmer/2005-09/msg00944.html>

- *From:* "Sn0tters@xxxxxxxxxxx" <Sn0tters@xxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* 7 Sep 2005 07:13:38 -0700
-

Once RMI gets it's mits on the Hashtable I presume it keeps the lock exclusively so for the transmission I don't think that would be a problem.

I've seen in my implmentation that concurrency does not seem to be an issue (so far!)

Wil

.

- *References:*

- ◆ [*Efficient hashmap serialization?*](#)
 - ◇ *From:* Sn0tters@xxxxxxxxxxx
- ◆ [*Re: Efficient hashmap serialization?*](#)
 - ◇ *From:* jan V
- ◆ [*Re: Efficient hashmap serialization?*](#)
 - ◇ *From:* Sn0tters@xxxxxxxxxxx
- ◆ [*Re: Efficient hashmap serialization?*](#)
 - ◇ *From:* Raymond DeCampo

- Prev by Date: [*Re: Efficient hashmap serialization?*](#)
- Next by Date: [*Re: left-padding ints*](#)
- Previous by thread: [*Re: Efficient hashmap serialization?*](#)
- Next by thread: [*Re: Efficient hashmap serialization?*](#)
- Index(es):
 - ◆ [*Date*](#)
 - ◆ [*Thread*](#)