

Re: The Modernization of Emacs: terminology buffer and keybinding

Source: <http://coding.derkeiler.com/Archive/Java/comp.lang.java.programmer/2007-07/msg02160.html>

- *From:* Joe Attardi <jattardi@xxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Tue, 24 Jul 2007 05:33:47 -0000
-

On Jul 8, 5:37 pm, nebulou...@xxxxxxxx wrote:

On Jul 8, 4:53 pm, David Kastrup <d...@xxxxxxx> wrote:

YOU are the one trying to post my responses there.

I am not posting any of your responses.

But you're trying to hijack them to comp.emacs. You did it yet again — if I had not manually intervened THIS response would have gone there instead of in the newsgroup that I am reading. Since you seem to want them to go there I'm again sending the ones you try to hijack exclusively to comp.lang.java.programmer.

And since the normal behavior of a surfer is to read something, click reply, type their new text, and click send without bothering to verify that it will actually go to the newsgroup they are reading since that's normally automatic, you are in effect choosing the destination of their post for them when you do whatever it is you keep doing. That strikes me as quite rude. How many people respond to postings of yours, then later come back to see if there's been any response to their response, only to end up scratching their heads because the response they distinctly remember writing is nowhere to be seen?

You are confusing comp.lang.java.programmer with your personal mail account. It is not the purpose of that group to keep you informed about discussions elsewhere with a different topic.

If this discussion is off-topic for cljp, we have Xah to blame for that. He's the one that started this thread and included cljp in the newsgroups line. Now it has to continue in cljp if people who started reading it in cljp and ended up with a stake in it are to continue to

Re: The Modernization of Emacs: terminology buffer and keybinding

follow it without gratuitously subscribing to extra newsgroups they don't have the time or inclination to read.

I certainly would not feel qualified to presume knowing what treatment could be effective in a case like yours. I'll leave that to the professionals.

This must be some subtle and arguably—clever insult. My response is a bit more direct, and therefore is more honest: FOAD, and have a nice day.

Thanks for a good laugh.

--

David Kastrup, Kriemhildstr. 15, 44793 Bochum

You might not be so amused when it eventually dawns on you that that guy that you've been harassing, insulting, and intermittently pissing off over the Internet over the past few weeks knows your street address. Not that I plan to use this information for anything but rattling your cage right here and now, but are you so certain that *everyone* you're this nasty to online will likewise not use it?

P.S. Will someone PLEASE tell me how to exempt myself from GG's ridiculously small "posting limits"?!! I had posted maybe 10 articles to cljp all day and one to another newsgroup, and apparently that was enough to exceed their limit. I think it keeps decreasing -- I remember estimating it as 50 postings in 24 hours, and later it apparently dropped to 25. Now it looks to be half that again. That actually makes that aeio or whatever it was called start to look rosy, with its limit of 25; now that is the HIGHER limit instead of the LOWER one.

And I ABSOLUTELY FUCKING DEMAND that in the future, if a posting won't be accepted it say so as soon as I click "reply" instead of waiting for me to enter a bunch of data that I'll then have to do all over again after logging out and back in!!! Christ that makes me mad! Who designed this thing anyway, Microsoft? And why isn't there any working feedback method to tell the developers of GG this, so I have to post it here instead and hope they happen to stumble onto it someday??? There was only the one web form that I ever found, which never produced anything but automated responses and form letters that prove that whatever I wrote was only ever read by a bot, or at least something with the IQ of a bot. Plus there's no Google Groups feedback newsgroup, which is a complete travesty...

Re: The Modernization of Emacs: terminology buffer and keybinding

By the way, whoever it was that just tried to hack me* (David Kastrup? Was that you?), you can now go to hell. Please board the ferry at once. Oh, and we regret to inform you that the WiFi service is temporarily out of order. -- Styx Cruise Lines Mgt. (So, no more internet for you.)

* I just got a spontaneous popup saying "The Windows scripting host is disabled", apparently an error message caused when an attempt to use the scripting host was foiled by my having long since turned it off as a security risk. Obviously I was right to do so. Typing in this box in Firefox shouldn't attempt to invoke the Windows scripting host. Which suggests an attempt to exploit some scripting vulnerability, either by code embedded somehow into one of the postings to this thread, or separately by someone sending packets of would-be doom to my IP address. In any event, don't bother trying again. There was a similar attack a few days ago that was equally futile. **WHATEVER VULNERABILITY YOU TRIED TO EXPLOIT IS NOT EXPOSED ON MY COMPUTER -- DON'T BOTHER.**

The only thing you accomplished was to a) cause that dialog to pop up, which is annoying and wastes my time with closing it; b) cause Windows Help and Support Center to open by itself afterward, probably to try to assist me with diagnosing my scripting host problem, in typical brain-dead Windoze fashion (but at least it HAS a Help and Support Center that is easy to get to, navigate, and use, unlike some software that I could name); and c) alert me to the hack attempt. Next time maybe I'll happen to have WireShark running. Then I'll have your IP and logs evidencing an attempted hack. Then you will want to quake in terror at losing your net account and maybe getting arrested! :P

Don't fuck with Twisted. He is the Internet Tough Guy!