

Re: How Lisp's Nested Notation Limits The Language's Utility

Source: <http://coding.derkeiler.com/Archive/Lisp/comp.lang.lisp/2007-05/msg00439.html>

- *From:* JeremiaThomas@xxxxxxxxx
 - *Date:* 8 May 2007 03:15:23 -0700
-

On May 8, 7:19 am, Ken Tilton <k...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

Jon Harrop wrote:

Joe Marshall wrote:

...

What does BASIC have to do with Lisp?

It also sucks?

Well, so much for the marketing push into the fertile land of Lisp users. Don't feel bad, I could not even sell these yobbos on a /Lisp/ library.

You don't have to sell anything good, if you have a quality product just make it available and people will look for it by themselves. Nobody pushed me to use Celtk, Hunchentoot, CLSQL or CL-WHO I found their quality myself (with some pointers, Pointers not propaganda). Now I'm interested in Cells & cl-opengl. Good stuff will stand the test of time.

Cells is a little bit ahead of its time. For my modest needs I could go on with pure common-lisp, but it's good to have a quality tool in your toolbox.

jt

.